# Computer Architecture Comprehensive Exam

### Exam Instructions

Answer each of the questions included in the exam. Write all of your answers directly on the examination paper, including any work that you wish to be considered for partial credit. The examination is open-book, and you may make use of the text, handouts, your own course notes, and a calculator. You may use a computer of any kind but no network.

**On equations:** Wherever possible, make sure to include the equation, the equation rewritten with the numerical values, and the final solution. Partial credit will be weighted appropriately for each component of the problem, and providing more information improves the likelihood that partial credit can be awarded.

**On writing code:** Unless otherwise stated, you are free to use any of the assembly instructions listed in the Appendix at the back of the book, including pseudoinstructions. You do not need to optimize your MIPS code unless specifically instructed to do so.

**On time:** You will have one hour to complete this exam. Budget your time and try to leave some time at the end to go over your work. The point weightings correspond roughly to the time each problem is expected to take.

#### THE STANFORD UNIVERSITY HONOR CODE

The Honor Code is an undertaking of the students, individually and collectively:

(1) that they will not give or receive aid in examinations; that they will not give or receive unpermitted aid in class work, in the preparation of reports, or in any other work that is to be used by the instructor as the basis of grading;

(2) that they will do their share and take an active part in seeing to it that others as well as themselves uphold the spirit and letter of the Honor Code. I acknowledge and accept the Honor Code.

### Magic Number \_\_

		Score	Grader
1. Short Answer	(15)		
2. ISA	(15)		
3. Pipelining	(15)		
4. Cache	(15)		

Total (60) \_\_\_\_\_

# Problem 1: Short Answer (15 points)

Please provide short, concise answers.

(a) [3 points] Can a direct mapped cache sometimes have a higher hit rate than a fully associative cache with an LRU replacement policy (on the same reference pattern and with the same cache size)? If so, give an example. If not, explain why not?

(b) [3 points] Give two ways virtual memory address translation is useful even if the total size of virtual memory (summed over all programs) is guaranteed to be smaller than physical memory.

(c) [3 points] How does a data cache take advantage of spatial locality?

(d) [6 points] What is the advantage of using a virtually-indexed physically-tagged L1 cache (as opposed to physically-indexed and physically-tagged)? What constraints does this design put on the size of the L1 cache

## **Problem 2: Instruction Set Architecture (15 points)**

At TGIF, you hear a computer architecture graduate student propose that MIPS would have been better if only it had allowed arithmetic and logical instructions to have a register-memory addressing mode. This new mode would allow the replacement of sequences like:

	lw	\$1,	0(\$n)
	add	\$2,	\$2, \$1
with:			
	add	\$2,	0(\$n)

The student waves his hands (one holding bread and one holding cheese) saying this can be accomplished with only a 5% increase in the clock cycle and no increase in CPI.

You are fascinated by the possibilities of this breakthrough. However, before you drop out to create a startup, you decide to use SPECint2000 to evaluate the performance because it contains your favorite three applications (gcc, gzip, and of course perl). Since you love computer architecture so much, you have an EE282 book that has the instruction set mix for SPECint2000 with you (table at right).

(a) [8 points] What percentage of loads must be eliminated for the machine with the new instruction to have at least the same performance?

Instruction	Average
load	26%
store	10%
add	19%
sub	3%
mul	0%
compare	5%
load imm	2%
cond branch	12%
cond move	1%
jump	1%
call	1%
return	1%
shift	2%
and	4%
or	9%
xor	3%
other logical	0%

(b) [4 points] Give an example of a code sequence where the compiler could not perform this replacement even though it matches the general pattern?

(c) [3 points] Considering the usual 5 stage MIPS pipeline, why might this new instruction be problematic to implement with no change in CPI?

# **Problem 3: Pipelining (15 points)**

Consider the following code:

\$1,	0(\$2	)
\$1,	\$1,	1
\$1,	0(\$2	)
\$2,	\$2,	4
\$4,	\$3,	\$2
\$4,	\$0,	Loop
	\$1, \$1, \$1, \$2, \$4, \$4,	\$1, 0(\$2 \$1, \$1, \$1, 0(\$2 \$2, \$2, \$4, \$3, \$4, \$0,

Assume that the initial value or R3 is R2 + 396

This code snippet will be executed on a MIPS pipelined processor with a 5-stage pipeline. Branches are resolved in the decode stage and *do not* have delay slots. All memory accesses take 1 clock cycle.

In the following three parts, you will be filling out pipeline diagrams for the above code sequence. Please use acronyms F, D, X, M and W for the 5 pipeline stages. For all cases of forwarding, use arrows to connect the source and destination stages. Simulate at most 7 instructions, making one pass through the loop and performing the first instruction a second time.

(a) [5 points] Fill in the pipeline diagram below for the execution of the above code sequence *without* any forwarding or bypassing hardware but assuming a register read and a write in the same clock cycle "forwards" through the register file.

									Cycle								
Instruction	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16

(b) [5 points] Fill in the pipeline diagram below for the execution of the above code sequence *with* traditional pipeline forwarding:

									Cycle								
Instruction	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16

(c) [5 points] <u>Aggressively</u> rearrange the order of the instructions (data dependencies have to be preserved) so that the number of instructions/cycles needed to execute the code snippet is minimized. Fill in the following table with the rearranged instruction sequence assuming traditional pipeline forwarding like part (b):

	Cycle   0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15																
Instruction	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
					1	1											

# **Problem 4: Cache Performance (15 points)**

The following problem concerns basic cache lookups.

- The memory is byte addressable.
- Memory accesses are to **1-byte words** (not 4-byte words).
- Physical addresses are 13 bits wide.
- The cache is 4-way set associative, with a 4-byte block size and 32 total lines.

In the following tables, **all numbers are given in hexadecimal**. The *Index* column contains the set index for each set of 4 lines. The *Tag* columns contain the tag value for each line. The *V* column contains the valid bit for each line. The *Bytes* 0-3 columns contain the data for each line, numbered left-to-right starting with byte 0 on the left.

The contents of the cache are as follows:

									4-wa	y Se	t As	socia	ative	Ca	che									
Index	Tag	V	Η	Byte	в 0-3	3	Tag	V	Η	Byte	s 0-3	3	Tag	V	Η	Bytes	s 0-3	3	Tag	V	I	Byte	s 0-3	3
0	84	1	ED	32	0A	A2	9E	0	BF	80	1D	FC	10	0	EF	9	86	2A	E8	0	25	44	6F	1A
1	18	1	03	ЗE	CD	38	E4	0	16	7B	ED	5A	02	0	8E	4C	DF	18	E4	1	FB	B7	12	02
2	84	0	54	9E	1E	FA	84	1	DC	81	B2	14	48	0	B6	1F	7B	44	89	1	10	F5	B8	2E
3	92	0	2F	7E	3D	A8	9F	0	27	95	A4	74	57	1	07	11	FF	D8	93	1	C7	B7	AF	C2
4	84	1	32	21	1C	2C	FA	1	22	C2	DC	34	73	0	BA	DD	37	D8	28	1	E7	A2	39	BA
5	A7	1	A9	76	2B	EE	73	0	BC	91	D5	92	28	1	80	BA	9B	F6	6B	0	48	16	81	0A
6	8B	1	5D	4D	F7	DA	29	1	69	C2	8C	74	B5	1	A8	CE	7F	DA	BF	0	FA	93	EB	48
7	84	1	04	2A	32	6A	96	0	B1	86	56	0 E	CC	0	96	30	47	F2	91	1	F8	1D	42	30

- (a) [3 points] The box below shows the format of a physical address. Indicate (by labeling the diagram) the fields that would be used to determine the following:
  - O The block offset within the cache line
  - I The cache index
  - T The cache tag

12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

(b) [5 points] For the given physical address, indicate the cache entry accessed and the cache byte value returned in hex. Indicate whether a cache miss occurs. If there is a cache miss, enter "-" for "Cache Byte returned".

Physical address: 0x0D74

Physical address format (one bit per box)

				(	F	·						
12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Physical memory reference:

Parameter	Value
Cache Offset (CO)	0x
Cache Index (CI)	0x
Cache Tag (CT)	0x
Cache Hit? (Y/N)	
Cache Byte returned	0x

# Physical address: 0x0AEE

Physical address format (one bit per box)

12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

## Physical memory reference:

Parameter	Value
Cache Offset (CO)	0x
Cache Index (CI)	0x
Cache Tag (CT)	0x
Cache Hit? (Y/N)	
Cache Byte returned	0x

(c) [4 points] For the given contents of the cache, list all of the hex physical memory addresses that will hit in Set 7. To save space, you should express contiguous addresses as a range. For example, you would write the four addresses 0x1314, 0x1315, 0x1316, 0x1317 as 0x1314-0x1317.

The following templates are provided as scratch space:

12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
r	1			T	1	1	r	T	n	1	n	
12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
		-	-	-	-	-		-		-		
12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

(d) [3 points] For the given contents of the cache, what is the probability (expressed as a percentage) of a cache hit when the physical memory address ranges between 0x1080 - 0x109F. Assume that all addresses are equally likely to be referenced.

Probability = \_\_\_%

The following templates are provided as scratch space:

12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0